

# The Gray Trollop (aka, The New York Times) Unhinged

“THE VILE AND VENOMOUS LEFT”

by [Joseph DeMaio](#), ©2019



(Sep. 18, 2019) — Once again, the Gray Trollop (hereinafter, “GT” for brevity) confirms that the only principle to which its writers and editors adhere is expediency. Truth will be cast to the curb if expediency dictates. Stated otherwise, if it were expedient to fabricate (or propagate) a story in order to undermine anything President Trump has accomplished, they will do it. If it is expedient to claim that on 9/11/01, “some airplanes [took aim](#) at the Twin Towers,” omitting that Islamic terrorists had hijacked the planes, they will do it. And if it would be expedient to libel, slander, defame or cast in a false light Supreme Court Justice Brett Kavanaugh, they will enthusiastically do it.

The latest malicious foray by the GT into their clients’ (*i.e.*, the Democrat presidential candidates) efforts to renew calls for the impeachment of Justice Kavanaugh involves – you guessed it – another recycled and unsubstantiated allegation of college-years sexual misconduct. The details can be perused [here](#), [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#). The complete and absolute absence of any empirical evidence that the story is true or even *close* to accurate, coupled with evidence that it was absolutely false and that the alleged “victim” had any recollection *at all* of the purported incident, lays the foundation for a lawsuit by Justice Kavanaugh against the GT. Where is it writ that judges cannot sue if they are libeled and defamed?

The U.S. Supreme Court decision most frequently cited for the proposition that “public officials” seeking damages for libel, slander or defamation have a very high standard of proof to meet is [New York Times Co. v. Sullivan](#), 376 U.S. 254 (1964). The core ruling (9-0) of the Court (376 U.S. at 279-280) is that “[t]he constitutional guarantees [under the First and Fourteenth Amendments] require, we think, a federal rule that prohibits a public

official from recovering damages for a defamatory falsehood relating to his official conduct unless he proves that the statement was made with ‘actual malice’ – that is, with knowledge that it was false or with reckless disregard of whether it was false or not.”

Justices Goldberg and Douglas (concurring) would have gone even further, arguing that the Constitution protects the *absolute* right to libel, slander and defame a public official. Yes, Virginia, both Goldberg and Douglas were Democrats.

The point of your humble servant’s post, however, is that the developing factual reality proves beyond a reasonable doubt – let alone proves by a “preponderance” of evidence or even by “clear and convincing” evidence – that in publishing libelous and defamatory lies about Justice Kavanaugh, the GT was not only acting with “actual malice,” but was also intentionally acting with a refined and conscious reckless, even proud disregard for the truth.

Taking a cue from the leadership of the Democrats and the Democrat contenders for the privilege of being shredded by President Trump in the 2020 general election, “anything goes” in their quest to destroy everything and anything that he has accomplished. And that includes trashing, denigrating and casting in a false light Justice Kavanaugh. Justice Kavanaugh can either (a) “sit back and take it,” or (b) fight back. The first option, of course, will only embolden future assaults and attacks on him and his character. Alternatively, the second option could bring the GT to its knees. ‘Bout time.

How great would it be to one day read a Supreme Court decision entitled “*Kavanaugh v. New York Times Co.*” (Justice Kavanaugh recusing himself, unlike [Justice Kagan](#) when the constitutionality of Obamacare was before her), holding that the GT, in fact, not only libeled, defamed and cast him in a false light, but that it did so consciously, intentionally and with reckless – and proud – disregard for the truth?

The actions of the GT in this regard surpass even the “if-you-tell-a-lie-long-enough-people-will-come-to-believe-it-as-the-truth” [advice](#) of Nazi Germany’s Third Reich Minister of Propaganda, Josef Goebbels. The GT cares not about truth: it cares only about expedient mechanisms to “resist” President Trump and his judicial appointees and to remove them from office by whatever expedient means necessary.

Parenthetically and interestingly, in researching this post, your humble servant came across a website suggesting that the Goebbels quote above was “[fraudulent](#)” and never occurred. That website – driven to the top of the “Microsoft Edge” Windows 10 search engine page, so that it will be the first “source” visitors see – is that of the “Institute for Historical Review.” That organization, in turn, “is considered by many scholars to be central to the international Holocaust denial movement [footnotes omitted]. IHR promotes [antisemitic](#) viewpoints, [footnote omitted] and has links to [neo-Nazi organizations](#)” (IHR [Wikipedia](#) entry).

Memo to faithful P&E readers (and visitors aspiring to become regular P&E readers): always be careful when relying on information posted on the Internet – or driven to the top of search engine pages to enhance or magnify the relevance or importance of the

information – because much of it can be bogus... including, as but one example, images of birth certificates. As artist René (“[This is not a Pipe](#)”) Magritte” might [observe](#): “This is not a birth certificate; this is a picture of a birth certificate.”

Returning to the GT’s smearing of Justice Kavanaugh, unless there is monetary accountability – *i.e.*, severe and substantial punitive damages – once again, the Left will win and truth will be thrown under the GT bus, there to be crushed as the editors repeatedly shift from “drive” to “reverse” in order to kill it. Sooo..., that being the case, how does a punitive award of 10 billion dollars sound?

And if a decision of that nature came to pass, how far behind could be the cases with a plaintiff named “Trump” and defendants named “CNN,” “MSNBC” and “Maddow?” Enough already. The Left not only hates President Trump, it unabashedly detests all those who support him. That means you and me. The time for “turning the other cheek” has long passed: the time for reclaiming the nation from the vile and venomous Left is now. At the end of the day, there are three possible options competing for the title of the “highest and best use” for the printed pages of the Gray Trollop: (1) birdcage lining; (2) dead fish wrapping; (3) bathroom tissue. As for the last one, apart from the rough “non-Charmin” nature of the pulp itself, one should exercise caution by bleaching the ink from the paper first, as its toxicity might lead to a constant need for Preparation H.